

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

STEPHANIE CLIFFORD a.k.a.
STORMY DANIELS, an individual,
c/o Avenatti Associates, APC
1910 W Sunset Blvd, #450
Los Angeles, CA 90026,

Plaintiff,

v.

SHANA M. KECKLEY, in her
individual capacity as a
Columbus Police Vice Unit Detective,
City of Columbus, Division of Police
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215,

and

WHITNEY R. LANCASTER, in his
individual capacity as a
Columbus Police Vice Unit Lieutenant,
City of Columbus, Division of Police
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215,

and

MARY S. PRAITHER, in her
individual capacity as a
Columbus Police Vice Unit Detective,
City of Columbus, Division of Police
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215,

and

STEVEN G. ROSSER, in his
individual capacity as a

CIVIL ACTION NO.

JUDGE:

MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Columbus Police Vice Unit Detective,
City of Columbus, Division of Police
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215,

and

JOHN OR MARY DOE 1, in his or her
individual capacity,
City of Columbus, Division of Police
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215,

and

JOHN OR MARY DOE 2, in his or her
individual capacity,
City of Columbus, Division of Police
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215,

Defendants.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The claims at issue in this case arise from a politically motivated July 11, 2018 incident in which Officers of the Columbus Division of Police (“CDP”) Vice Unit (“Vice Unit”), acting under color of state law, intentionally and willfully subjected Plaintiff Stephanie Clifford (“Ms. Clifford”) to, *inter alia*, false arrest and false imprisonment in violation of her civil rights.

2. Approximately two months before the events at issue in this case, Ms. Clifford, a.k.a. Stormy Daniels (“Ms. Clifford”), became an opponent of President Donald Trump in litigation. Namely, in March 2018, Ms. Clifford filed a lawsuit seeking to be released from a non-disclosure agreement she had signed in connection with a \$130,000 payment she received from the President and/or his representatives. On March 25, 2018, Ms. Clifford was interviewed on “60 Minutes” and told a national television audience about her extramarital affair with the President which led to the non-disclosure agreement and payment of “hush money.” In response,

the President and his surrogates attacked her, sought to disparage Ms. Clifford and to impugn her character and credibility.

3. In this civil rights action, Ms. Clifford seeks relief for the violation of her rights secured by the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 USC §1983, the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and the common law of the State of Ohio.

4. Plaintiff Ms. Clifford seeks monetary damages (special, compensatory, and punitive) against defendants, as well as declaratory, injunctive, and equitable relief, an award of costs and attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Jurisdiction over claims brought under the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (deprivation of rights under color of law), is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question); 1343 (civil rights), and jurisdiction over the state claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and S.D. Ohio Civ. R. 82.1(b), because the events that give rise to this action occurred in Franklin County, Ohio. Defendants reside and/or are employed as CDP Vice Unit members or in other CDP employment there.

7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and S.D. Ohio Civ. R. 82.1(b), because the events that give rise to this action occurred in Franklin County, Ohio. Defendants reside and/or are employed as CDP Vice Unit members or in other CDP employment there.

8. Costs and attorneys' fees may be awarded pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, the common law of the State of Ohio, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 54.

III. PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Ms. Clifford ("Ms. Clifford") is a resident of Texas.

10. Defendants Shana Keckley ("Defendant Keckley"), Whitney Lancaster ("Defendant Lancaster"), Mary Praither ("Defendant Praither"), Steven Rosser ("Defendant

Rosser”), and John or Mary Doe 1 (“Defendant Doe 1”) (Defendants Keckley, Lancaster, Praither, Rosser and Doe 1 shall collectively be referred to herein as “Defendant Officers”) are being sued in their individual capacity; and were, at all times material to this Complaint, employees of the CDP Vice Unit (“Vice Unit”) located in Franklin County, Ohio, and “persons” under 42 U.S.C §1983 acting under color of law.

11. Defendant John or Mary Doe 2 (“Defendant Doe 2”) is a police officer, spokesperson, and/or social media account administrator for the CDP whose identity is not currently known to Plaintiff. Accordingly, Plaintiff is suing Defendant Doe 2 in his or her individual capacity. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant Doe 2 was an employee of the CDP, located in Franklin County, Ohio, and a “person” under 42 U.S.C § 1983 acting under color of law.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. At approximately 10:00 p.m. on July 11, 2018, Plaintiff Ms. Clifford was scheduled to appear at an adult entertainment venue known as “Sirens” in Columbus, Ohio

13. As noted above, Ms. Clifford is a public figure engaged in a high profile public dispute with the President of the United States of America, Donald John Trump (“President Trump”), with whom she alleges that she had a sexual affair.

14. Ms. Clifford has frequently and publicly spoken out against President Trump, making statements that she had a sexual affair with him in 2006 and that President Trump’s lawyer, Michael Cohen, paid her one-hundred and thirty-thousand dollars (\$130,000) to not say anything about the affair during President Trump’s 2016 presidential campaign. Specifically, in March 2018, Ms. Clifford sued to be released from a non-disclosure agreement relating to the affair and, on March 25, 2018, she discussed the matter on “60 Minutes” to a record television audience. Mr. Trump and his supporters have since sought to disparage her character and credibility.

15. Defendant Officers belonged to a group of officers from the Vice Unit who were avowed supporters of President Trump. To wit:

16. Defendant Keckley is registered as a Republican in the Ohio voter rolls.

17. Defendant Praither is also registered as a Republican in the Ohio voter rolls.

18. Defendant Rosser is a known Republican supporter of President Trump.

19. Before July 11, 2018, Defendant Rosser maintained a Facebook profile under the alias of "Stevo Shaboykins." Defendant Rosser regularly posted supporting images for President Trump on the Stevo Shaboykins Facebook page. One image was labeled "Cops for Trump Pence Make America Great Again," and included the text: "Keep your Elephant Keep your Donkey We Have a Lion (Trump)," and "So Trump is mentally ill...he built a multi-billion dollar empire that spread across the world, was able to beat 17 of the best and brightest Republican candidates, turns around to beat the 'most qualified woman of our time' for the presidency, then in his first year in office causes the stock market to climb to heights never seen before in history, lowered unemployment, almost wiped out ISIS, restoring law and order, all while working with a hostile Congress and the media attacking him 24/7? Perhaps we need more mentally ill people."

20. At some point in time, before July 11, 2018, Defendant Officers became aware that Ms. Clifford was scheduled to visit Sirens.

21. Defendant Officers believed that Ms. Clifford was damaging President Trump and they thereafter entered into a conspiracy to arrest her during her performance in Columbus in retaliation for the public statements she had made regarding President Trump.

22. Defendant Officers also arrested Ms. Clifford because they believed that doing so would damage her credibility in relation to any statements she had make or might in the future make against President Trump. Damaging Ms. Clifford's credibility in this way was another purpose of Defendant Officers' conspiracy.

23. Defendant Officers subsequently decided to arrest Ms. Clifford and to falsely charge her with violating R.C. 2907.40 when she performed at Sirens.

24. Defendant Keckley performed extensive research on Ms. Clifford and her performance schedule prior to her appearance at Sirens.

25. E-mails sent from Defendant Keckley's personal account to her work account contained screenshots of an article announcing the event, a picture of Ms. Clifford and President Trump together, a link to a YouTube video of Ms. Clifford receiving the keys to West Hollywood, and a screenshot of the location of Sirens on a map.

26. Defendant Officers determined in advance that if Ms. Clifford did not meet all of the elements of R.C. 2907.40, they would either fabricate the missing elements or deliberately omit elements on any criminal complaint against her.

27. Further, Defendant Officers determined in advance that, at the same time they arrested Ms. Clifford, they would also arrest at least two other individuals working at Sirens for a violation of R.C. 2907.40 to cover for their arresting Ms. Clifford. Defendant Officers calculated that, if they arrested other employees, they would be able to deny that Ms. Clifford's arrest was politically-motivated and unjustified by circumstances on the ground.

28. On the night Ms. Clifford was scheduled to appear at Sirens on July 11, 2018, Defendant Officers attended the event undercover, each paying a seventy-five dollar (\$75) cover charge to acquire V.I.P. access to Ms. Clifford during her performance.

29. Several of the Defendant Officers sat in a booth together, while other Defendant Officers were posted at other locations at the establishment.

30. Several of the Defendant Officers consumed alcoholic beverages while on the job, spending at least seven hundred and sixty eight dollars (\$768) of taxpayer money on their exploit, including one-hundred and twenty-nine dollars (\$129) for alcohol and six-hundred and thirty-nine dollars (\$639) for tips and cover charges.

31. Prior to 10:00 p.m., Defendant Officers invoked their V.I.P. privileges and entered the V.I.P. area to personally meet Ms. Clifford.

32. During the V.I.P. interaction, Defendant Praither alleged Ms. Clifford “put both hands on officers [sic] buttocks, both hands on officers [sic] breast, then put her breast in officers [sic] face.”

33. Defendant Praither, based upon these allegations, arrested Ms. Clifford, falsely alleging a violation of R.C. 2907.40.

34. Pursuant to their conspiracy, Defendant Officers proceeded to arrest Ms. Panda and Ms. Walters for alleged violations of R.C. 2907.40 at or about the same time.

35. Defendant Officers arrested, handcuffed, detained, and transported Ms. Clifford in a police cruiser to a police staging location where she remained handcuffed. She was then transported to the Franklin County Correctional Center where she was placed in a holding cell, booked, fingerprinted, and photographed.

36. Defendants charged Ms. Clifford, Ms. Panda, and Ms. Walters with first-degree misdemeanor violations of R.C. 2907.40(C)(2), as alleged in each individual complaint against them.

37. The Columbus Police Department Vice Unit enforces a very limited set of laws.

38. Vice Unit officers, including Defendant Officers, are intimately aware of all of the elements for the limited set of laws they are charged with enforcing.

39. In filing criminal complaints, Defendant Officers have access to and routinely use a book containing the elements of City and State criminal offenses, including the elements associated with R.C. 2907.40(C)(2).

40. A violation of R.C. 2907.40(C)(2) requires: (1) an employee who regularly appears nude or seminude; (2) at the sexually oriented business; (3) while they are nude or seminude; (4) to touch another employee, a patron, or allow themselves to be touched by a patron.

41. Accordingly, R.C. 2907.40(C)(2) requires that the person charged appear nude or semi-nude at the establishment in question *on a regular basis*. But Ms. Clifford was a special guest performer at Sirens, who had not appeared regularly at the establishment, while Ms. Panda

had just started the night before. Additionally, neither Ms. Panda or Ms. Walters had been nude or semi-nude, in order to violate the statute.

42. Immediately following the arrests of Ms. Clifford, Ms. Panda, and Ms. Walters, at 3:47 a.m., Defendant Keckley sent an e-mail to Defendant Rosser, Defendant Praither, Detective Scott Soha, and Lieutenant Robert Kemmerling, stating, “Attached are the complaints from our arrests at Sirens” with attachments of the individual complaints against each woman.

43. At 3:50 a.m. on July 12, 2018, Defendant Keckley forwarded the abovementioned e-mail with the attached complaints to Lieutenant Babcock, stating, “LT You’re Welcome!!!!I work Vice now !!:D It was Me, Rosser, Lancaster, and Praiter [sic]; Please Please Don’t post my name on Face Book [sic] !!:D Thank me in person later.”

44. Defendant Keckley asked that her name not be published on Facebook in connection with these arrests out of concern that her political motivation for the arrests would be discovered.

45. At 4:03 a.m., Defendant Keckley forwarded the above-mentioned e-mail with the attached complaints to co-workers Elisabeth Beine, Lowell Whitt, Eric Poliseno, Jason Arnold, and Jason Vore, stating, “I got elements along with Susan and Lancaster we arrested Stormy this morning, she is in jail.”

46. At 4:05 a.m., Defendant Keckley forwarded the same e-mail with the attached complaints to her husband, Shane Keckley, stating, “It is all over CNN. I wanted you to know before everyone contacts you ... I, Susan and Lancaster got elements and arrested Stormy Daniels this morning she is in jail.”

47. Later that evening, co-worker Jason Arnold replied all to Defendant Keckley, stating, “Great job!!!!Let me know how that goes for ya...”.

48. Defendant Keckley’s e-mails, including the ones from the prior night showing research on Ms. Clifford, her upcoming performance at Sirens, and her affiliation with President Trump, as well as the ones post-arrest stating that she “got elements” to arrest Ms. Clifford evidence a premeditated, politically motivated reason to arrest Ms. Clifford.

49. Shortly after the arrests, Defendant Rosser deleted his “Stevo Shaboykins” Facebook profile out of concern that his political motivation for arresting Ms. Clifford would be discovered.

50. At 1:36 p.m. on July 12, 2018, Columbus Division of Police released a statement from Chief Kim Jacobs (“Chief Jacobs”) which said, “Vice personnel working last night believed they had probable cause that the state law regulating sexually-oriented businesses was violated; however, one element of the law was missed in error and charges were subsequently dismissed.”

51. Contrary to that post, which was intended to divert attention or inquiry, Defendant Officers never had probable cause to arrest Ms. Clifford, nor could they believe they did. This is because Defendant Officers were well aware that they had deliberately fabricated and/or omitted the elements of R.C. 2907.40(C)(2) to arrest Ms. Clifford and the other women.

52. Ms. Panda’s and Ms. Walters’ charges were dismissed at the unilateral request of the prosecutor due to lack of probable cause on July 18, 2018, six days after charges were filed against them.

53. On July 12, 2018 at 7:29 a.m., Defendant Doe 2 posted a statement on the official Twitter account for the City of Columbus Division of Police, “Columbus Ohio Police (@ColumbusPolice),” entitled “Columbus Police Make Three Arrests at Adult Entertainment Club.” The July 12, 2018 statement read, “As part of a long-term investigation into allegations of human trafficking, prostitution, along with other vice related violations, Columbus Police arrested three individuals from Club Sirens at 6190 Cleveland Ave., during the early morning hours of July 12, 2018.”

54. The above-mentioned statement is entirely false. Defendant Officers were not at Sirens investigating any human trafficking, prostitution, or other vice related violations, nor were the women employees of Sirens arrested in any way related to such an “investigation”. Defendant Doe 2’s publication of this statement furthered the conspiracy of Defendant Officers to conceal their retaliatory motive for the arrests.

55. Plaintiff's rights to be free from unlawful search and seizure and from arrest and prosecution without probable cause and/or based on false statements were, at the time of their actions or omissions, clearly established rights guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

56. Defendants' acts or omissions were done with malicious purpose, in bad faith, or in a wanton or reckless manner.

57. As a proximate result of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages, including loss of liberty, legal and other expenses, physical pain and suffering, mental and emotional anguish, embarrassment, fear, and great inconvenience.

58. But for Defendant Officers' commitment to President Trump and retaliatory motivation against Ms. Clifford, no arrest, prosecution, or defamation would ever have happened.

III. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

A. First Cause of Action: False Arrest in Violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments and Ohio Common Law

59. Paragraphs 1 through 58 above are realleged and incorporated herein.

60. Defendants have deprived Ms. Clifford of her civil, constitutional and statutory rights under color of law and have conspired to deprive her of such rights and are liable to plaintiff under 42 USC § 1983.

61. Defendants' conduct deprived Ms. Clifford of her right to be free of unreasonable searches and seizures, pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Defendants' conduct also deprived Ms. Clifford of her right to due process of law, pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

62. By arresting Ms. Clifford when, at the moment of the arrest the facts and circumstances within the knowledge of the Defendants Officers were insufficient to warrant a reasonable person to believe Plaintiff had committed a crime, Defendant Officers committed a

false arrest. Defendants falsely arrested plaintiff and failed to intervene in each other's obviously illegal actions.

63. Ms. Clifford has been damaged as a result of defendant' wrongful acts.

B. Second Cause of Action: Malicious Prosecution in Violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments and Ohio Common Law

64. Paragraphs 1 through 58 above are realleged and incorporated herein.

65. By making, influencing, and/or participating in the decision to prosecute Ms. Clifford without probable cause, which prosecution was resolved in her favor by dismissal at the unilateral request of the prosecutor due to lack of probable cause, and doing so with knowingly or recklessly made false statements in their paperwork, Defendant Officers maliciously prosecuted Ms. Clifford, resulting in her deprivation of liberty for more than five hours after their initial seizure.

66. Ms. Clifford has been damaged as a result of defendant' wrongful acts.

C. Third Cause of Action: Civil Conspiracy to Violate the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments

67. Paragraphs 1 through 58 above are realleged and incorporated herein.

68. Defendants conspired against Ms. Clifford in order to conceal their retaliation against her by depriving her of their Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights and taking steps to further the conspiracy, such as arresting her without probable cause, unlawfully searching and seizing her persons and property, making knowingly or recklessly false statements in her paperwork, making, influencing, and/or participating in the decision to prosecute her without probable cause, and making public false defamatory statements, thereby causing Plaintiff injury.

69. Ms. Clifford was injured as a result of defendant' conspiracy.

D. Fourth Cause of Action: Abuse of Process in Violation of Ohio Common Law

70. Paragraphs 1 through 58 above are realleged and incorporated herein.

71. In the alternative, by commencing criminal prosecution in proper form and with probable cause and perverting that prosecution to retaliate against Ms. Clifford and thereby cause her injury in their efforts to conceal that retaliation, Defendant Officers committed abuse of process.

E. Fourth Cause of Action: Abuse of Process in Violation of Ohio Common Law

72. Paragraphs 1 through 58 above are realleged and incorporated herein.

73. By maliciously releasing false statements to public newspapers and broadcasters and on social media platforms strongly implying Ms. Clifford was engaged in immoral conduct or wrongdoing, and/or vice related violations; and by announcing the details of her arrests for “illegal sexually oriented activity in a sexually oriented business,” Defendants defamed Ms. Clifford causing injury to her reputation and exposing her to contempt, ridicule, shame, and disgrace in the community.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court:

- a. declare that Defendants have, through false arrest and/or malicious prosecution, violated Plaintiff’s Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights, conspired against Plaintiff to violate those rights, abused process, and/or defamed her; and

- b. order more than \$1,000,000 in compensatory damages; more than \$1,000,000 in punitive damages; prejudgment and post-judgment interest; costs; attorneys' fees, and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Chase A. Mallory
Chase A. Mallory (0084728)
Chase@SabolMallory.com
SABOL MALLORY, LLC
743 South Front Street
Columbus, Ohio 43206
phone: (614) 300-5088
fax: (614) 636-4545

/s/ Daniel J. Sabol
Daniel J. Sabol (0081403)
Dan@SabolMallory.com
SABOL MALLORY, LLC
743 South Front Street
Columbus, Ohio 43206
phone: (614) 300-5088
fax: (614) 636-4545

/s/ Michael J. Avenatti
Michael J. Avenatti (applying pro hac)
mavenatti@eoalaw.com
AVENATTI & ASSOCIATES, APC
1910 W Sunset Blvd, #450
Los Angeles, CA 90026
phone: (949) 706-7000

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of all causes by jury.

By: /s/ Chase A. Mallory
Chase A. Mallory (0084728)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

STEPHANIE CLIFFORD a.k.a. STORMY DANIELS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff KAUFMAN COUNTY TX
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

CHASE A. MALLORY (614) 300-5088
SABOL MALLORY, LLC
743 SOUTH FRONT STREET, COLUMBUS, OH 43206

DEFENDANTS

SHANA M. KECKLEY, WHITNEY R. LANCASTER, MARY S. PRAITHER, STEVE G. ROSSER

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant FRANKLIN COUNTY OH
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

42 U.S.C. 1983

Brief description of cause:

FALSE ARREST AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT IN VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

2,000,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

01/14/2019

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Chase A. Mallory (0084728)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE